



ARCHIVES DE PÉDIATRIE

Official organ of the French Society of Pediatrics

AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- **Description** p.1
- **Impact Factor** p.1
- **Abstracting and Indexing** p.2
- **Editorial Board** p.2
- **Guide for Authors** p.3



ISSN: 0929-693X

DESCRIPTION

Archives de Pédiatrie publishes in English original Research papers, Review articles, Short communications, Practical guidelines, Editorials and Letters in all fields relevant to pediatrics.

Eight issues of *Archives de Pédiatrie* are released annually, as well as supplementary and special editions to complete these regular issues.

All manuscripts submitted to the journal are subjected to peer review by international experts, and must: Be written in excellent English, clear and easy to understand, precise and concise; Bring new, interesting, valid information - and improve clinical care or guide future research; Be solely the work of the author(s) stated; Not have been previously published elsewhere and not be under consideration by another journal; Be in accordance with the journal's Guide for Authors' instructions: manuscripts that fail to comply with these rules may be returned to the authors without being reviewed.

Under no circumstances does the journal guarantee publication before the editorial board makes its final decision.

Archives de Pédiatrie is the official publication of the French Society of Pediatrics.

Archives de Pédiatrie is indexed in the main international databases and is accessible worldwide through the ScienceDirect and ClinicalKey platforms.

IMPACT FACTOR

2022: 1.8 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2022

ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

Science Citation Index
BIOSIS Citation Index
Pascal Francis
Current Contents - Clinical Medicine
Embase
PubMed/Medline
Web of Science
Hinari

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-chief

Pr Régis COUTANT, University Hospital Centre Angers, Endocrinology Pediatric Service, Angers cedex 9, France

Associate Editors

Pr Pascal BARAT, Bordeaux University Hospital Center, Children's Hospital, Endocrinology Diabetology Gynecology Pediatric Obesity Unit, Bordeaux, France

Pr Hélène CHAPUY, Armand Trousseau Hospital, Pediatric Emergency Department, Paris, France

Pr Jean-Christophe DUBUS, Aix Marseille University, Timone Hospital for Children, Pediatric Pulmonology Department, Marseille, France

Pr Cyril FLAMANT, Neonatal Resuscitation at Nantes University Hospital, Nantes cedex, France

Pr Jérôme HARAMBAT, Bordeaux University Hospital Center, Pediatric Nephrology Department, Bordeaux Cedex

Pr Elise LAUNAY, University Hospital Centre Nantes, General Pediatrics Unit, Nantes, France

Pr Emmanuel MAS, University Hospital Centre Toulouse Service of Gastroenterology hepatology, Toulouse cedex 9, France

Pr Caroline OVAERT, Department of Pediatric Cardiology, La Timone Hospital, Marseille, France

Pr Cyril SCHWEITZER, Infantile Medicine of University Hospital Centre Nancy, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France

Pr Patrick VAN BOGAERT, University Hospital Centre Angers Neuropediatrics Service, Angers cedex 9, France

Editorial Assistant

Isabelle PETIT

GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

INTRODUCTION

Official organ of the French Society of Pediatrics, the *Archives de Pédiatrie* participates in the dissemination of knowledge, one of the major missions of all learned societies. The Archives de Pédiatrie has contributed to this objective for many years, successively directed by Pr Daniel Alagille, Pr Michel Odievre, Pr Michel Dehan, Pr Jacques Sarles and Pr Brigitte Chabrol, all taking an active and successful role in the journal. To improve the journal's international visibility and attractiveness for non-French-speaking pediatricians, new growth has become necessary.

TYPES OF ARTICLE

Editorials

Editorials should not exceed 4 typewritten double-spaced pages (1500 words). Tables and figures are not to be used, and a summary is not required. Use no more than 15 references. The name (including first name) and complete address of the author or authors must be given at the end of the editorial. For reprints, only one name is required with the address.

Review articles

The length of the articles should not exceed 10-25 typewritten double-spaced pages, with an abstract and keywords (but not including references, tables and figures). There is no limit for the number of tables, figures. Use no more than 50 references. Review articles either provide a comprehensive discussion of available knowledge or an update of recent data about a specific topic. They may be commissioned or spontaneous. Abstract and keywords are required. Although narrative overviews may be acceptable, the editorial board gives preference to systematic literature reviews that include a detailed description of the methodology used to retrieve and select the reviewed data.

Research papers

The articles should not exceed 25 typewritten double-spaced pages (not including references, tables and figures). There is no limit for the number of tables, figures. Use no more than 30 references. Original articles report new and original work that has not been published elsewhere (except as an abstract at a conference).

Structured Abstract (max 300 words): Background, Objective, Methods and Settings, Results, Conclusion

Introduction: State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods: Provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described.

Results: Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion: This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. Focus on concise discussion of published literature. Indicate the limitations of your work.

Conclusions: The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of Discussion or section.

Short communications

A short communication must be unusual and contribute original information and should not report isolated clinical cases. In general, a simple case report is not sufficiently significant to be published. It is advisable for case reports to emphasize a particular aspect of the case, in relation to the literature, or, if they are rare, to be associated with a table listing all published cases, in order to provide an overall picture of the rare disease. The length of brief reports should not exceed approximately 2 500 words including references (10 at most), the abstract and keywords. The abstract should summarize the article in a single paragraph. The short communication should be organized as follows: introduction, observation, discussion, conclusion, references. The introduction should be short and describe the main information. The observation should be written in the past tense. The discussion should concentrate on the lessons to be learnt from the experience.

Letters to the Editor

This section in *Archives de Pédiatrie* has a dual objective: create exchanges with readers and offering readers the possibility of publishing brief, original notes. Whatever contents they may have, they should not exceed two typed pages, references and tables included. There should be no more than five references. There is no abstract.

Practical guidelines

Recommendations of general interest to the pediatric community are published in English language. Abstract and keywords are of interest.

The Societe Francaise de Pédiatrie (French Pediatrics Society, SFP) wishes to disseminate Guidelines for Clinical Practices? on its site and in the *Archives de Pédiatrie*, thus granting its guarantee of quality.

These recommendations should be issued by specialist societies belonging to the SFP or a panel of experts. The possibility of their publication will be evaluated by the Editorial Board of the Archives together with the SFP scientific Committee

According to the HAS (Haute Autorité de Santé, French National Authority for Health), these medical and professional guidelines are defined as proposals developed methodically to assist the practitioner and the patient in their search for the most appropriate treatment in given clinical circumstances. They can be used to establish practice standards determining what is appropriate and/or inappropriate to do when setting up preventive, diagnostic, and/or therapeutic strategies in given clinical situations? (1). The general principles for these guidelines are the following:

- The guideline is dated and signed by the writers as well as the specialist society or the expert panel concerned. The guideline should be reviewed (or confirmed) by its authors every 3 years. If they are not reviewed, they will not be maintained on the SFP site.

- The text of the guidelines should closely follow the general indications provided by the HAS on its site (1), including the following general features:

- elaboration of the guidelines by a working group and outside validation by an evaluation group;
- focus on a precise clinical situation (no matter how frequent it is).
- critical analysis of the literature to scientifically support the guidelines and the report of any guidelines on the same subject in other countries.
- the guideline will refer to a defined and explicit level of evidence, will distinguish proof and presumption, will be clear, precise, specific, and practical.

The maximum length of the text is 30 pages double spaced with references included.

These guidelines are intended to evolve into official HAS guidelines, drawn up after an exhaustive review of the literature, careful formal expression by the working group and the evaluation group, and feasibility and impact studies. They may also be guidelines published within the HAS by pediatricians and members of the SFP in the field of childhood diseases (whether rare or common), which will be adapted or reproduced in the journal for the dissemination of knowledge.

Reference for the method

-https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/c_418716/fr/methodes-d-elaboration-des-recommandations-de-bonne-pratique

-https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/c_1340205/methode-d-elaboration-des-protocoles-nationaux-de-diagnostic-et-de-soins-pnds

Submission checklist

when submitting their manuscript online, authors should also submit a check-list that can be downloaded and completed. This checklist allows authors to check that they have respected the Instructions to Authors and that they have not forgotten important steps in writing their manuscript.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:

Manuscript:

- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print

Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)

Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our [Support Center](#).

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

Please see our information on [Ethics in publishing](#).

Studies in humans and animals

If the work involves the use of human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with [The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association \(Declaration of Helsinki\)](#) for experiments involving humans. The manuscript should be in line with the [Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals](#) and aim for the inclusion of representative human populations (sex, age and ethnicity) as per those recommendations. The terms [sex and gender](#) should be used correctly.

Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

All animal experiments should comply with the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) and should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, [EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments](#), or the National Research Council's [Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals](#) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. The sex of animals must be indicated, and where appropriate, the influence (or association) of sex on the results of the study.

Informed consent and patient details

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent, which should be documented in the paper. Appropriate consents, permissions and releases must be obtained where an author wishes to include case details or other personal information or images of patients and any other individuals in an Elsevier publication. Written consents must be retained by the author but copies should not be provided to the journal. Only if specifically requested by the journal in exceptional circumstances (for example if a legal issue arises) the author must provide copies of the consents or evidence that such consents have been obtained. For more information, please review the [Elsevier Policy on the Use of Images or Personal Information of Patients or other Individuals](#). Unless you have written permission from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin), the personal details of any patient included in any part of the article and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors should complete the declaration of competing interest statement using [this template](#) and upload to the submission system at the Attach/Upload Files step. **Note: Please do not convert the .docx template to another file type. Author signatures are not required.** If there are no interests to declare, please choose the first option in the template. [More information](#).

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing

The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier's [AI policy for authors](#).

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

Disclosure instructions

Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled 'Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process'.

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by [Crossref Similarity Check](#) and other originality or duplicate checking software.

Preprints

Please note that [preprints](#) can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's [sharing policy](#). Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information).

Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors **before** submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only **before** the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the **corresponding author**: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors **after** the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Copyright

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see [more information](#) on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of

this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. [Permission](#) of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has [preprinted forms](#) for use by authors in these cases.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' ([more information](#)). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of [user license](#).

Author rights

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. [More information](#).

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can [share your research](#) published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

Open access

Please visit our Open Access page from the Journal Homepage for more information.

Elsevier Researcher Academy

[Researcher Academy](#) is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the [English Language Editing service](#) available from Elsevier's Author Services.

Submission

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article

Please submit your article via <https://www.editorialmanager.com/arcped>.

Suggesting reviewers

Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of several potential reviewers.

You should not suggest reviewers who are colleagues, or who have co-authored or collaborated with you during the last three years. Editors do not invite reviewers who have potential competing interests with the authors. Further, in order to provide a broad and balanced assessment of the work, and ensure scientific rigor, please suggest diverse candidate reviewers who are located in different countries/regions from the author group. Also consider other diversity attributes e.g. gender, race and ethnicity, career stage, etc. Finally, you should not include existing members of the journal's editorial team, of whom the journal are already aware.

Note: the editor decides whether or not to invite your suggested reviewers.

PREPARATION

Peer review

This journal operates a double anonymized review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. [More information on types of peer review.](#)

Article structure

Subdivision - numbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction

State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods

Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarized, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.

Results

Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. Focus on concise discussion of published literature. Indicate the limitations of your work.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

Essential title page information

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.
- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**
- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Highlights

Highlights are optional yet highly encouraged for this journal, as they increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: [example Highlights](#).

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

Abstract

A concise and factual abstract is required (max 300 words). Use the following structure: Background/Objectives/Methods and Setting/Results/Conclusion.

The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided. Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.

Graphical abstract

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view [Example Graphical Abstracts](#) on our information site.

Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

Abbreviations

Authors should limit the number of abbreviations. Only internationally accepted terms can be used.

Chemical compounds should be designated by their generic name (international common denomination, ICD), noncapitalized. The names of specialties, if they cannot be avoided, should be provided immediately thereafter, in parentheses, with the first letter capitalized and the symbol ®. The terms used repeatedly should be abbreviated for the purposes of the manuscript. This abbreviation should appear in parentheses after the first mention of the work or expression.

Numbers

Numbers should be written in Arabic numerals. If a number is followed by a decimal, it should be separated from the decimal by a decimal point in English texts (e.g., 4.5).

Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or

not-for-profit sectors.

Units

Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

Artwork

Electronic artwork

General points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision.

A detailed [guide on electronic artwork](#) is available.

You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.

TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.

TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.

TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

Please do not:

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF) or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites). [Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.](#)

Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

References

Citation in text

Authors are responsible for the accuracy of their references, which should in all cases be based on original documents. **The references should be verified beforehand on PubMed.** If a reference cannot be found on PubMed, the author will attach a pdf copy of the first page of the text from which the reference was taken.

All references should come from published sources (excluding brochures from conferences not published by an indexed journal). Each citation in the text should be referenced in the reference list and all references must be cited in the text.

The references should be numbered in the order they appear in the text. They must be cited in the text in square brackets, separated by dashes when there are consecutive references, for example [1–4], unless there are only two consecutive citations [1,2], and separated by commas if they are nonconsecutive references: [1,4].

All references should be presented according to the Vancouver convention (International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. Fifth edition. N Engl J Med 1997;336:309-16).

Up to three authors, the first three should be mentioned by name. If there are more than three, the first three are cited, followed by “et al.”

Web references

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

Journal abbreviations source

Journal names should be abbreviated according to the List of Title Word Abbreviations.

Unpublished work should not be included in the reference list, unless it has been formally accepted for publication (in this case indicate “in press” in parentheses after the name of the journal). They can only be mentioned in the text followed by “unpublished observations” or “personal communication”; in the latter case, the editorial staff reserves the right to verify this information. Similarly, references to inaccessible or unofficial documents (meeting reports, brochures distributed by private businesses, etc.) cannot be accepted.

Journal names should be abbreviated according to the *Index Medicus* (new series) of the National Library of Medicine. In this system, all abbreviations begin with a capital

Reference examples

Journal articles

[1] Adachi M, Tachibana K, Masuno M, et al. Clinical characteristics of children with hypoparathyroidism due to 22q11.2 microdeletion. Eur J Pediatr 1998;157:34-8.

Article in a journal supplement

[2] Lacombe D. Les protéines de la morphogénèse osseuse (BMP). Arch Pédiatr 1997; 4 Suppl 2 : 121-4.

Book

[3] Chéron G. Les urgences pédiatriques. Paris: Expansion Scientifique Publication; 1999.

Book with several authors and a coordinating editor

[4] Cochat P, editor. Enurésie et troubles mictionnels de l'enfant. Paris : Elsevier ; 1997.

Book chapter

[5] Scheinmann P, Ponvert C, Rufin P, et al. Immunotherapy in young children. In: Lockey RF, Bukantz SC, Bousquet J. editors. Allergens and allergen immunotherapy for allergic diseases. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2004

Conference papers

[6] Baron JF. Monitoring de la volémie au cours de l'anesthésie. In : SFAR, editor. Conférences d'actualisation. 37e congrès national d'anesthésie et de réanimation. Paris : Elsevier ; 1996. p. 7–23

Doctoral thesis

[7] Binet ML. La mastoïdite aiguë de l'enfant [thèse]. Paris : Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie ; 1997

Reference to a website

[8] Cancer Research UK. Cancer statistics reports for the UK, <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/aboutcancer/statistics/cancerstatsreport/>; 2003 [accessed 13.03.03].

Reference to a dataset

[dataset] [9] Oguro M, Imahiro S, Saito S, Nakashizuka T. Mortality data for Japanese oak wilt disease and surrounding forest compositions, Mendeley Data, v1; 2015. <https://doi.org/10.17632/xwj98nb39r.1>.

Video

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body

text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the file in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including [ScienceDirect](#). Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our [video instruction pages](#). Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

Research data

This journal encourages you to share data that supports your research publication in an appropriate data repository, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. If you are sharing data, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation.

Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the [research data page](#).

Data linking

If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the [database linking page](#).

For [supported data repositories](#) a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Online proof correction

To ensure a fast publication process of the article, we kindly ask authors to provide us with their proof corrections within two days. Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors.

If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this

proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

Offprints

The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized [Share Link](#) providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on [ScienceDirect](#). The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Corresponding authors who have published their article gold open access do not receive a Share Link as their final published version of the article is available open access on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

AUTHOR INQUIRIES

Visit the [Elsevier Support Center](#) to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also [check the status of your submitted article](#) or find out [when your accepted article will be published](#).